§ 134.317 Return of the case file.

Upon issuance of the decision, OHA will return the case file to the transmitting Area Office. The remainder of the record will be retained by OHA.

[67 FR 47250, July 18, 2002]

Subpart D—Rules of Practice for Appeals Under the 8(a) Program

SOURCE: 63 FR 35766, June 30, 1998, unless otherwise noted.

§ 134.401 Scope of the rules in this subpart D.

The rules of practice in this subpart D apply to all appeals to OHA from:

- (a) Denials of 8(a) BD program admission based solely on a negative finding(s) of social disadvantage, economic disadvantage, ownership or control pursuant to §124.206 of this title;
- (b) Early graduation pursuant to §§ 124.302 and 124.304;
- (c) Termination pursuant to §§ 124.303 and 124.304;
- (d) Denials of requests to issue a waiver pursuant to §124.515; and
- (e) Suspensions pursuant to §124.305(a).

§134.402 Appeal petition.

In addition to the requirements of §134.203, an appeal petition must state, with specific reference to the determination and the record supporting such determination, the reasons why the determination is alleged to be arbitrary, capricious or contrary to law. This section does not apply to suspension appeals. For suspensions, see §124.305 of this chapter.

[63 FR 35766, June 30, 1998, as amended at 67 FR 47250, July 18, 2002]

§134.403 Service of appeal petition.

- (a) Concurrent with its filing with OHA, a concern must also serve SBA's Associate Administrator for Business Development and the appropriate Associate General Counsel in SBA's Office of General Counsel with a copy of the petition, including attachments.
- (1) For appeals relating to denials of program admission pursuant to §124.206 of this title, suspensions of program assistance pursuant to §124.305, or denials

- of requests for waivers pursuant to §124.515, a petitioner must serve the SBA's Associate General Counsel for Procurement Law.
- (2) For appeals relating to early graduation pursuant to §§ 124.302 and 124.304 or termination pursuant to §§ 124.303 and 124.304, a petitioner must serve the SBA's Associate General Counsel for Litigation.
- (b) Serve the Associate Administrator for Business Development and the applicable Associate General Counsel at the Small Business Administration, 409 3rd Street, SW, Washington, DC 20416.

[63 FR 35766, June 30, 1998, as amended at 65 FR 57542, Sept. 25, 2000; 67 FR 47250, July 18, 2002; 74 FR 45754, Sept. 4, 2009]

§ 134.404 Decision by Administrative Law Judge.

Appeal proceedings brought under this subpart will be conducted by an Administrative Law Judge.

§134.405 Jurisdiction.

- (a) The Administrative Law Judge selected to preside over an appeal shall decline to accept jurisdiction over any matter if:
- (1) The appeal does not, on its face, allege facts that, if proven to be true, would warrant reversal or modification of the determination, including appeals of denials of 8(a) BD program admission based in whole or in part on grounds other than a negative finding of social disadvantage, economic disadvantage, ownership or control;
- (2) The appeal is untimely filed under §134.202 or is not otherwise filed in accordance with the requirements of this subpart or the requirements in subparts A and B of this part; or
- (3) The matter has been decided or is the subject of an adjudication before a court of competent jurisdiction over such matters.
- (b) Once the Administrative Law Judge accepts jurisdiction over an appeal, subsequent initiation of an adjudication of the matter by a court of competent jurisdiction will not preclude the Administrative Law Judge from rendering a final decision on the matter.

§ 134.406

(c) Jurisdiction of the Administrative Law Judge in a suspension case is limited to the issue of whether the protection of the Government's interest requires suspension pending resolution of the termination action, unless the Administrative Law Judge has consolidated the suspension appeal with the corresponding termination appeal.

§ 134.406 Review of the administrative record.

- (a) Any proceeding conducted under §134.401(a) through (d) shall be decided solely on a review of the written administrative record, except as provided in §134.407 and in suspension appeals. For suspension appeals under §134.401(e), see §124.305(d) of this chapter
- (b) Except in suspension appeals, the Administrative Law Judge's review is limited to determining whether the Agency's determination is arbitrary, capricious, or contrary to law. As long as the Agency's determination is reasonable, the Administrative Law Judge must uphold it on appeal.
- (c) The administrative record must contain all documents that are relevant to the determination on appeal before the Administrative Law Judge and upon which the SBA decisionmaker, and those SBA officials that recommended either for or against the decision, relied. The administrative record, however, need not contain all documents pertaining to the petitioner. For example, the administrative record in a termination proceeding need not include the Participant's entire business plan file, documents pertaining to specific 8(a) contracts, or the firm's application for participation in the 8(a) BD program if they are unrelated to the termination action. The petitioner may object to the absence of a document, previously submitted to, or sent by, SBA, which the petitioner believes was erroneously omitted from the administrative record. In the absence of any objection by the petitioner or a finding by the Judge pursuant to paragraph (e) of this section that the record is insufficiently complete to decide whether the determination was arbitrary, capricious, or contrary to law, the administrative record

submitted by SBA shall be deemed complete.

- (d) Where the Agency files its response to the appeal petition after the date specified in §134.206, the Administrative Law Judge may decline to consider the response and base his or her decision solely on a review of the administrative record.
- (e) The Administrative Law Judge may remand a case to the Associate Administrator for Business Development (or, in the case of a denial of a request for waiver under §124.515 of this chapter, to the Administrator) for further consideration if he or she determines that, due to the absence in the written administrative record of the reasons upon which the determination was based, the administrative record is insufficiently complete to decide whether the determination is arbitrary, capricious, or contrary to law. In the event of such a remand, the Judge will not require the SBA to supplement the administrative record other than to supply the reason or reasons for the determination and any documents submitted to, or considered by, SBA in connection with any reconsideration permitted by regulation that occurs during the remand period. After such a remand, in the event the Judge finds that the reasons upon which the determination is based are absent from any supplemented record, the Judge will find the SBA determination to be arbitrary, capricious, or contrary to law. The Administrative Law Judge may also remand a case to the Director, Office of Business Development (or, in the case of a denial of a request for waiver under §124.515 of this chapter, to the Administrator) for further consideration where it is clearly apparent from the record that SBA made an erroneous factual finding (e.g., SBA double counted an asset of an individual claiming disadvantaged status) or a mistake of law (e.g., SBA applied the wrong regulatory provision in evaluating the case). A remand under this section will be for a reasonable pe-

[63 FR 35766, June 30, 1998, as amended at 67 FR 47250, July 18, 2002; 74 FR 45754, Sept. 4, 2000]